Abstracts Toxicon 190 (2020)

Conclusions: VEVA as a supplement to clinical evaluation appears to facilitate muscle identification and selection for treatment of ankle deformities with BoNT-A with marked improvement in ankle MAS and TS as pharmacological activity indicators and increase in SSWV as a functional marker.

Funding: This study was supported by a researcher-initiated grant from losen.

Keywords: Stroke; Traumatic brain injury; Gait spatio-temporal data; Video-enhanced visual analysis; AbobotulinumtoxinA

References

- 1. Esquenazi A, Talaty M. Gait analysis: Technology and clinical application. In: Saunders RLB, ed. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Inc; 2011:99-116.
- 2. Watelain E, Froger J, Rousseaux M, et al. Variability of video-based clinical gait analysis in hemiplegia as performed by practitioners in diverse specialties. *J Rehabil Med.* 2005;37(5):317-324.

ADHERENCE TO ONABOTULINUMTOXINA TREATMENT IN PATIENTS WITH SPASTICITY FROM THE ASPIRE STUDY

Alberto Esquenazi ^{a,*}, Wayne Feng ^b, George F. Wittenberg ^{c,d}, Phillipe Gallien ^e, Alessio Baricich ^f, Kristina Fanning ^g, Aleksej Zuzek ^h, Gerard E. Francisco ^{i,j}, Daniel S. Bandari ^k, ^a MossRehab Gait and Motion Analysis Laboratory, Elkins Park, PA, USA; ^b Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA; ^c University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, USA; ^d University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ^e Pôle MPR Saint Hélier, Rennes, France; ^f Universitá del Piemonte Orientale "A. Avogadro", Novara, Italy; ^g Vedanta Research, Wilmington, NC, USA; ^h Allergan plc, Irvine, CA, USA; ⁱ University of Texas McGovern Medical School, Houston, Texas, USA; ^j TIRR Memorial Hermann, Houston, Texas, USA; ^k Multiple Sclerosis Center of California, Newport Beach, CA, USA

E-mail address: aesquena@einstein.edu

* Corresponding author: MossRehab Gait and Motion Analysis Laboratory, Elkins Park, PA 19027, USA.

Introduction and Objectives: To better understand clinical strategies to manage spasticity, we aimed to identify baseline clinical characteristics and treatment-related variables that impact adherence to onabotulinumtoxinA treatment in patients from the Adult Spasticity International Registry (ASPIRE) study.

Methods: International, prospective, observational registry (NCT01930786). Adults with spasticity were treated with onabotulinumtoxinA at the clinician's discretion. Clinically meaningful thresholds for treatment adherence (≥ 3 treatment sessions in 2-year study) and non-adherence (≤ 2 sessions) were used. Data were analyzed using univariate and multivariate logistic regression and presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05; clinically meaningful non-significant variables of interest at P < 0.10. Data for treatment-related variables were assessed at sessions 1 and 2 only.

Results: Of the total population (N=730), 523 patients (71.6%) were treatment adherent with 5.3 (1.6; mean [SD]) treatment sessions; 207 (28.4%) were non-adherent with 1.5 (0.5) treatment sessions. In the final model (n=626/730), 522 patients (83.4%) were treatment adherent, 104 (16.6%) were non-adherent. Baseline characteristics associated with adherence: treated in Europe (OR: 1.84; CI: 1.06-3.21; P=0.030) and use of orthotics (OR: 1.88; CI: 1.15-3.08; P=0.012). Baseline characteristics associated with non-adherence: history of diplopia (OR: 0.28; CI: 0.09-0.89; P=0.031) and use of assistive devices (OR: 0.51; CI: 0.29-0.90; P=0.021). Treatment-related variables associated with non-adherence: treatment interval \geq 15 weeks (session 1 to 2; OR: 0.43; CI: 0.26-0.72; P=0.001) and clinician dissatisfaction with onabotulinumtoxinA to manage pain (OR: 0.18; CI: 0.05-0.69; P=0.012).

Conclusions: These ASPIRE analyses provide real-world insight into variables that impact adherence to onabotulinumtoxinA treatment, which can help optimize spasticity management strategies to improve patient care. **Funding:** Allergan, prior to acquisition by AbbVie

Keywords: Botulinum toxin; OnabotulinumtoxinA; Patient compliance;

Spasticity; Treatment adherence

MANAGEMENT OF SYMPTOM RE-EMERGENCE IN PATIENTS LIVING WITH SPASTICITY AND CERVICAL DYSTONIA: FINDINGS FROM 2 ONLINE PATIENT SURVEYS

Alberto Esquenazi ^{a,*}, Joaquim J. Ferreira ^b, Jorge Jacinto ^c, Andreas Lysandropoulos ^d, Cynthia Comella ^e. ^aMossRehab and Albert Einstein Medical Center, Elkins Park, PA, USA; ^bInstituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Portugal; ^cCentro de Medicina de Reabilitação de Alcoitão, Serviço de Reabilitação de Adultos 3, Estoril, Portugal; ^dMedical Affairs, Ipsen Pharma, Boulogne-Billancourt, France; ^eThe Movement Disorders Center at Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

E-mail address: AESQUENA@einstein.edu

* Corresponding author: Sheerr Gait and Motion Analysis Laboratory, MossRehab, 60 Township Line Road, Elkins Park, PA 19027, USA.

Introduction: Botulinum toxin type A (BoNT-A) injections are first-line treatment for spasticity and cervical dystonia (CD). Current guidelines suggest that BoNT-A injections should not be given more frequently than every 12 weeks but waning of benefit may occur at a shorter interval allowing for re-emergence of symptoms.

Design: Separate, but parallel, online surveys were developed for adult spasticity and CD including questions assessing current management of symptom re-emergence, and patient expectations for optimal treatment. Both surveys were deployed in France, Germany, Italy, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

Results: A total of 210 respondents with spasticity and 209 with CD completed the online surveys. Following a report of symptom re-emergence, the most common management approaches for patients living with spasticity or CD respectively were to add adjunctive treatments (36% spasticity; 35% CD), increase the BoNT-A dose (28% spasticity; 54% CD), wait for the next injection (27% spasticity; 19% CD) or a reduction in treatment interval (26% spasticity; 23% CD). Of the respondents, 8% with spasticity and 14% with CD said they do not tell their doctor about symptom re-emergence with the most common reason being they "do not believe they can do anything about it." While respondents (both conditions) were generally happy with their current injection schedules, 72% of respondents with spasticity and 71% of those with CD suffering symptom re-emergence said they would like a longer-lasting BoNT-A treatment.

Conclusions: Symptom re-emergence between BoNT-A injections in both spasticity and CD is common. Greater patient—and physician—awareness of this therapeutic profile should lead to better informed therapeutic discussions, planning, and outcomes.

Keywords: Botulinum toxin; Cervical dystonia; Patient survey; Spasticity; Symptom re-emergence

PATIENT EXPERIENCES OF SYMPTOM RE-EMERGENCE: FINDINGS FROM 2 ONLINE PATIENT SURVEYS IN SPASTICITY AND CERVICAL DYSTONIA

Alberto Esquenazi ^{a.*}, Jorge Jacinto ^b, Joaquim J. Ferreira ^c, Andreas Lysandropoulos ^d, Cynthia Comella ^e, ^a MossRehab and Albert Einstein Medical Center, Elkins Park, PA, USA; ^b Centro de Medicina de Reabilitação de Alcoitão, Serviço de Reabilitação de Adultos 3, Estoril, Portugal; ^c Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal; ^d Medical Affairs, Ipsen Pharma, Boulogne-Billancourt, France; ^eThe Movement Disorders Center at Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

E-mail address: AESQUENA@einstein.edu

* Corresponding author: Sheerr Gait and Motion Analysis Laboratory, MossRehab, 60 Township Line Road, Elkins Park, PA 19027, USA.

Introduction: Botulinum neurotoxin type A (BoNT-A) is a major, effective pharmacological treatment for the management of spasticity and cervical dystonia (CD) that requires repeated administration at variable intervals. Patient perceptions of treatment efficacy and waning of BoNT-A effect over